

Harper: Are Muslims the only Terrorists?

June 28, 2007

One thing that has been proved by the National Day of Action set for June 29th, is that in Canada our Anti-Terrorist Laws only apply to Muslims.

If I was a Muslim I would be quite angry that the Canadian Government along with both the OPP and RCMP are demonstrating that the only people who will be arrested under the Anti-Terrorist Act will be Muslims.

Imagine for a moment if any Muslim group were to publicly organize people to take up guns, to attack the infrastructure (Hydro, Rail, Highway or Gas lines) in Canada? Just how long do you think such a group would last before police would be arresting them for terrorism?

What if any Muslim leader publicly declared that the only way to deal with the "white man" was to take up a gun or to stand between him and his money? What if any Muslim leader publicly stated that he didn't 'give a rat's ass whether he created victims or what the white-man thinks of him'? What if any Muslim leader openly declared that he wouldn't stop until 'every home had suffered in the way that he had suffered'?

The answer is they would have been arrested. Everyone knows this to be true. Even national media has been raising the questions of blackmail, terrorism and Government appeasement of the radicals.

Canadian Anti-Terrorism Act - Section 83.01(1)(b) defines terrorist activity as:

(A) in whole or in part for a **political, religious or ideological purpose**, objective or cause, and
(B) in whole or in part with the **intention of intimidating the public, or a segment of the public, with regard to its security, including its economic security**, or compelling a person, a government or a domestic or an international organization to do or to refrain from doing any act, whether the public or the person, government or organization is inside or outside Canada, and
(C) causes a serious risk to the health or safety of the public or any segment of the public,
(D) causes substantial property damage, whether to public or private property, if causing such damage is likely to result in the conduct or harm referred to in any of clauses (A) to (C), or
(E) **causes serious interference with or serious disruption of an essential service, facility or system, whether public or private**, other than as a result of advocacy, protest, dissent or stoppage of work that is not intended to result in the conduct or harm referred to in any of clauses (A) to (C),

and includes a conspiracy, **attempt or threat to commit any such act** or omission, or being an accessory after the fact or counselling in relation to any such act or omission, but, for greater certainty, does not include an act or omission that is committed during an armed conflict and that, at the time and in the place of its commission, is in accordance with customary international law or conventional international law applicable to the conflict, or the activities undertaken by military forces of a state in the exercise of their official duties, to the extent that those activities are governed by other rules of international law.

At the very least Shawn Brant has violated the Canadian Anti-Terrorism Act but...

He is not a Muslim so he has not been arrested.