

'Political Prisoner': The Logic

Jan 22, 2008

Albert Douglas appeared in court on Jan. 9, 2008 and proclaimed himself to be a 'Political Prisoner' and not a criminal to which his supporters in court applauded and shouted, "Way to go, Al".

We've heard this type of propoganda before when Trevor Miller claimed a year ago that he was a 'Political Prisoner'. At first, Native Protesters claimed Mr. Miller was a 'Prisoner of War', but quickly changed their propoganda spin to 'Political Prisoner'. I guess someone realized that telling Canadians that Mr. Miller was a 'Prisoner' due to a 'War' would not help their image in Canada.

Most Canadians would suddenly have to ask when did Six Nations declare 'War' on Canada and better still there would be the question about why is the OPP involved in a 'War' instead of the Canadian Army. Of course the PR people for the Native Protesters use such extreme language all the time. By their thinking any criminal behaviour is acceptable because they see themselves at 'War'.

The fact that Trevor Miller appeared in court twice in 2006 for completely difference violent acts doesn't help the image that somehow he was only arrested for his political views. Although Mr. Miller claimed to be a 'Political Prisoner' he pled guilty for beating his pregnant girlfriend and admitted to a serious problem with cocaine use. Certainly not your poster child for a movement claiming to be protecting woman and children of the community and trying to build a better future for Native people.

By mid 2006 Mr. Miller was again committing violence against people by assaulting residents, for which he was finally arrested, starting the whole "I am a Political Prisoner" B.S. all over again. Again Mr. Miller did plead guilty in court after months of claiming the court had no jurisdiction over him and that he was only arrested for his 'political' actions. Mr. Trevor Miller's history of violence has nothing to do with his political views, but one where he sees himself as being above the law. In fact, I don't recall Mr. Miller ever making any political speeches or holding rallies to get his message out. It appears to me that Native Protesters do understand that their violent acts were designed to send a message to residents, to the OPP and to the Government.

Now on to Albert Douglas who, following in Mr. Miller's footsteps, believes that violence is a form of political speech. Let's review Mr. Douglas' political views for a moment.

Mr. Douglas believes he has the political right to assault and rob people – i.e. the charge of assault and robbery of the CHCH TV cameramen.

Mr. Douglas believes he has the political right to kill people – i.e. the charge of attempted murder against an OPP officer on June 9, 2006.

Mr. Douglas believes he has the political right to hijack vehicles and to forcibly confine people – i.e. charges from June 9, 2006.

Twisted Logic for travelers:

Based on the logic by Native Protesters I guess any Canadian traveling in the USA has the right to assault US police officers and claim they are 'political prisoners' if they find themselves in jail. I can see it now, anger at being overtaxed on your property in Florida then the solution appears to be to wear a mask and beat up people while claiming you are having a 'peaceful' protest.

If you are upset because US authorities are not paying due respect for your Canadian Flag, your traditions and customs then you have the right to try to kill a cop.

Maybe every Canadian who finds himself in jail in any Country around the world should say what Mr. Douglas stated, "I have been kidnapped by a foreign government".

The problem is the Native Protesters truly believe this type of logic and teach their children that violence is justified. Of course these same people then complain that there is too high a percent of Native people in jail compared to non-Native people... well, maybe stop teaching your children that violence is the answer to political problems.

Is this the way of Life on Six Nations:

Is this truly the logic on Six Nations land that people who have a complaint against those who rule over them can use violence to create changes within the system? If you have a problem with the Band Council does that give you the right to dig up roads, assault people and destroy property?

Would these same Native Protesters who believe it is okay for them to use violence agree that others have the same right to use violence against them? Do the children of those who have been victimized by these thugs have the right to use violence against Six Nation's people and their homes? Can they claim that because they have been abused that they have the right to go on Six Nation's land and dig up roads, wear masks and beat people up, and destroy property?

Aboriginals do not have the Inherent Right to use Violence:

Call me a racist, but I do not believe Aboriginal people have the Inherent Right to use Violence. All people are equal and should be treated equal under the law... some call that racism.

To these people, Aboriginals have an inherent Right over land and sole right over the law. The idea of equality and justice for all is called genocide because it dares to see that Aboriginals do NOT have inherent Rights over ALL OTHER GROUPS.

Native Supremacy is as Evil as White Supremacy:

You can change the colours people wear and the flag they carry, but hooded KKK members with the confederacy flag committing violence against 'Blacks' is no difference than masked Native Protesters with their confederacy flag committing violence against 'Whites'.

The KKK members believed they were given certain rights by God and they were just trying to protect their culture and their lifestyle for the future of their children. Native Protesters believe they too are given absolute rights by the Creator and they too claim to be protecting their culture and their lifestyle for the future of their children. Both groups see segregation as the solution to ensure that their culture, which they believe is superior, is not destroyed by another Race they believe is inferior.

Both the KKK and Native Protesters believe they are above the Law, see themselves as the protectors of their community and fundamentally believe that the use of violence against the 'enemy' is justified.

Such Logic always creates a Supremacy Movement that proclaims their own race is superior while being willing to victimize anyone who disagrees.

Just like in the USA the KKK could only have influence with the help of the local Police and Government, the Native Protesters have influence solely because of the OPP and McGuinty Government.

It is only when the OPP and McGuinty Government buy into the Logic that Native people have inherent rights to use violence and inherent rights over all other Races that violent events in Caledonia can occur.